

REMARKS

This Preliminary Amendment is filed in order to facilitate processing of the above-identified application and responds to the Office Action dated February 7, 2007 in which the Examiner rejected claims 1-4, 7, 10, 11 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) and rejected claims 5-6, 8-9, 12-13 and 15-16 under 35 U.S.C. §103.

As indicated above, claims 1, 7, 11 and 14 have been amended in order to make explicit what is implicit in the claims. The amendment is unrelated to a statutory requirement for patentability.

Claims 1-4, 7, 10, 11 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by *Ecolore*.

Ecolore merely discloses bilingual glossaries and source code for the glossaries. Nothing in the reference shows, teaches or suggests how these glossaries are used. In particular, nothing in the reference shows, teaches or suggests a browser device downloading a style definition file, a data definition file of a second type and only one of the data definition files of a first type for displaying data on a client computer as claimed in claim 1. Rather, the reference only discloses a plurality of types of glossaries and source code of the glossaries.

Furthermore, since the reference only discloses a plurality of glossaries and source code thereof, nothing in the reference shows, teaches or suggests outputting or receiving a) only one of the data definition files of the first type, b) the style definition file and c) the data definition file of the second type as claimed in claims 7, 11 and 14. Rather, the reference is silent as to what files are output for display.

Since nothing in the reference shows, teaches or suggests a) a browser device of the client computer downloading the style definition file, the data definition

file of the second type and one of the data definition files of the first type as claimed in claim 1 or b) outputting/receiving only one data definition file of the first type, the data definition of the second type and the style definition file as claimed in claims 7, 11 and 14, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner withdraws the rejection to claims 1, 7, 11 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. §102(a).

Claims 2-4 and 10 recite additional features. Applicant respectfully submits that claims 2-4 and 10 would not have been anticipated by the reference within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §102(a) at least for the reasons as set forth above. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner withdraws the rejection to claims 2-4 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. §102(a).

Claims 5-6, 8-9, 12-13 and 15-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Ecolore in view of *Bravery et al.* (U.S. Publication no. 2003/0037076).

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §103. The claims have been reviewed in light of the Office Action, and for reasons which will be set forth below, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner withdraws the rejection to the claims and allows the claims to issue.

As discussed above, since nothing in Ecolore shows, teaches or suggests the features as claimed in claims 1, 7, 11 and 14, Applicant respectfully submits that the combination of Ecolore with the secondary reference to *Bravery et al.* will not overcome the deficiencies of the primary reference. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner withdraws the rejection to claims 5-6, 8-9, 12-13 and 15-16 under 35 U.S.C. §103.

Thus it now appears that the application is in condition for reconsideration and allowance. Reconsideration and allowance at an early date are respectfully requested. Should the Examiner find that the application is not now in condition for allowance, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner enters this Amendment for purposes of appeal.

If for any reason the Examiner feels that the application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact, by telephone, the Applicant's undersigned attorney at the indicated telephone number to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

In the event that this paper is not timely filed within the currently set shortened statutory period, Applicant respectfully petitions for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such extension of time may be charged to Deposit Account No. 02-4800.

In the event that any additional fees are due with this paper, please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-4800.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: June 25, 2007

By:


Ellen Marcle Emas
Registration No. 32131

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404
703 836 6620